

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA  
CHARLESTON DIVISION

**IN RE: DIGITEK PRODUCT LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

**MDL NO. 1968**

---

**THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES**

**RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO NOTICE TO TAKE VIDEOTAPED  
ORAL DEPOSITION *DUCES TECUM***

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45, Defendants Actavis Inc., Actavis Totowa LLC, and Actavis Elizabeth LLC (collectively “Actavis”), by and through counsel of record, set forth written objections to the Notice To Take Videotaped Oral Deposition *Duces Tecum* (“Subpoena”), issued on behalf of the Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee (“Plaintiffs”). The Subpoena includes the attached subpoena duces tecum. Actavis reserves the right to supplement these responses and objections as additional information becomes available, to move to quash the Subpoena, or otherwise seek appropriate judicial relief.

**GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

1. Actavis makes the following general objections in addition to the specific objections to the topics and demands for production as set forth below. Each of these general objections is incorporated into and made a part of Actavis’ response to each and every topic and demand for production set forth in the Subpoena.

2. Actavis objects to each and every topic and demand for production to the extent that it purports to impose requirements or discovery obligations that exceed those imposed by the

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the Southern District of West Virginia, or any other applicable rule, law, or regulation.

3. Actavis objects to each and every topic and demand for production to the extent that it seeks information or documents protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. If any information or documents protected from discovery by any privilege are inadvertently revealed, the disclosure is not intended to and shall not operate as a waiver of these objections.

4. Actavis objects to each and every topic and demand for production to the extent that it seeks information or documents in the public domain, equally available to Plaintiffs, or available from other sources in a manner that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

5. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34(b)(2)(A), the party producing documents in response to a deposition notice has 30 days in which to produce documents. Plaintiffs served the Subpoena on Actavis on April 4, 2011. In spite of this improper notice, Actavis will produce as many documents as possible on April 22, 2011 but notes its objection to the untimely notice.

6. Nothing in these general and specific objections constitutes nor should be construed as an admission or acknowledgment as to the admissibility, materiality, or relevance of any information or documents sought through the Subpoena.

### **SPECIFIC RESPONSE AND OBJECTIONS**

In response to each of the specific requests for production, Actavis responds as follows:

1. A copy of Dr. Walter Kernan's current curriculum vitae will be provided at his deposition.

2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(4)(C), Actavis will provide communications that (i) relate to compensation for the expert's study or testimony; (ii) identify facts or data that its attorneys provided and that the expert considered in forming the opinions to be expressed; or (iii) identify assumptions that its attorneys provided and that the expert relied on in forming the opinions to be expressed. The remainder of the documents sought by this request is protected as documents prepared in anticipation of litigation under Rule 26(b)(3)(A).

3. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(4)(C), Actavis will provide communications that (i) relate to compensation for the expert's study or testimony; (ii) identify facts or data that its attorneys provided and that the expert considered in forming the opinions to be expressed; or (iii) identify assumptions that its attorneys provided and that the expert relied on in forming the opinions to be expressed. The remainder of the documents sought by this request is protected as documents prepared in anticipation of litigation under Rule 26(b)(3)(A).

4. Actavis will provide documents which "refer or relate to Digitek®" that it provided to Dr. Kernan. To avoid unnecessary duplication, Actavis will provide a list of "deposition transcripts which refer or relate to Digitek®."

5. Actavis will provide the initial correspondence it sent to Dr. Kernan retaining him as an expert in the Digitek® litigation.

6. Actavis will provide all bills that Dr. Kernan submitted to Actavis for services rendered in connection with the Digitek® litigation.

7. Actavis will provide a copy of the contents of Dr. Kernan's entire file for his consultation on the Digitek® litigation, unless it is otherwise protected from disclosure by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26. Actavis refers Plaintiffs to Exhibit B of Dr. Kernan's report for a list of publicly available scientific, medical, or other literature that Dr. Kernan reviewed in drafting his report.

8. Actavis refers to its response to Request Number 7.

9. Actavis objects to this request to the extent it infers that “any plaintiff in this MDL ingested defective Digitek®.” Subject to and without waving the foregoing objection, Actavis refers to its response to Request Number 7.

10. Actavis objects to this request as it seeks materials protected by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(4)(B) and (C).

11. Actavis objects to this request to the extent it seeks materials protected by 26(b)(4)(B). Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, Actavis will provide a copy of Dr. Kernan’s expert report which was provided to Plaintiffs on December 9, 2010.

12. Actavis refers to its response to Request Number 7.

13. Actavis objects to this request as vague and ambiguous. The phrase “concerning whether a Digitek® pill that may have been adulterated may have ever been received by a pharmacist or consumer” is unintelligible. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Actavis refers to its response to Request Number 7.

14. Actavis refers to its response to Request Number 7.

Respectfully submitted,

ALLEN GUTHRIE & THOMAS, PLLC

TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP

Rebecca A. Betts, LIAISON COUNSEL  
500 Lee Street East, Suite 800  
Charleston, West Virginia 25301  
Tel: (304) 345-7250  
Fax: (304) 345-9941  
E-mail: [rabetts@agmtlaw.com](mailto:rabetts@agmtlaw.com)

By: s/Richard A. Dean  
Richard A. Dean (Ohio Bar #0013165),  
CO-LEAD COUNSEL  
Matthew P. Moriarty (WV Bar # 4571;  
Ohio Bar 0028389), CO-LEAD COUNSEL  
Kristen L. Mayer (Ohio Bar #0055505)  
925 Euclid Avenue, Suite 1150  
Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1414  
Tel: (216) 592-5000  
Fax: (216) 592-5009  
E-mail: [richard.dean@tuckerellis.com](mailto:richard.dean@tuckerellis.com)  
[matthew.moriarty@tuckerellis.com](mailto:matthew.moriarty@tuckerellis.com)  
[kristen.mayer@tuckerellis.com](mailto:kristen.mayer@tuckerellis.com)

*Attorneys for Defendants Actavis Totowa LLC,  
Actavis Inc., and Actavis Elizabeth LLC*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 12, 2011, a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

ALLEN GUTHRIE & THOMAS, PLLC

Rebecca A. Betts, LIAISON COUNSEL  
500 Lee Street East, Suite 800  
Charleston, West Virginia 25301  
Tel: (304) 345-7250  
Fax: (304) 345-9941  
E-mail: [rabetts@agmtlaw.com](mailto:rabetts@agmtlaw.com)

*Attorney for Defendants*

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP

Harvey L. Kaplan, CO-LEAD COUNSEL  
Madeleine M. McDonough, CO-LEAD COUNSEL  
2555 Grand Blvd.  
Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2613  
Tel: (816) 559-2214  
Fax: (816) 421-5547  
E-mail: [hkaplan@shb.com](mailto:hkaplan@shb.com)  
E-mail: [mmcdonough@shb.com](mailto:mmcdonough@shb.com)

*Attorneys for Mylan, Inc. Mylan  
Pharmaceuticals Inc., Mylan Bertek  
Pharmaceuticals Inc., and UDL Laboratories,  
Inc.*

TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP

By: *s/Richard A. Dean*  
Richard A. Dean (Ohio Bar #0013165),  
CO-LEAD COUNSEL  
Matthew P. Moriarty (WV Bar # 4571;  
Ohio Bar 0028389), CO-LEAD  
COUNSEL  
Kristen L. Mayer (Ohio Bar #0055505)  
925 Euclid Avenue, Suite 1150  
Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1414  
Tel: (216) 592-5000  
Fax: (216) 592-5009  
E-mail: [richard.dean@tuckerellis.com](mailto:richard.dean@tuckerellis.com)  
[matthew.moriarty@tuckerellis.com](mailto:matthew.moriarty@tuckerellis.com)  
[kristen.mayer@tuckerellis.com](mailto:kristen.mayer@tuckerellis.com)

*Attorneys for Defendants Actavis Totowa LLC,  
Actavis Inc., and Actavis Elizabeth LLC*